arXiv:1401.6532v1 [math.RT] 25 Jan 2014

The nilpotent variety and invariant polynomial functions in the Hamiltonian algebra

Junyan Wei

Abstract

Premet has conjectured that the nilpotent variety of any finite-dimensional restricted Lie algebra is an irreducible variety. In this paper, we prove this conjecture in the case of Hamiltonian Lie algebra. and show that its nilpotent variety is normal and a complete intersection. In addition, we generalize the Chevalley Restriction theorem to Hamiltonian Lie algebra. Accordingly, we give the generators of the invariant polynomial ring.

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue the study of nilpotent variety and invariant polynomial functions of restricted Lie algebras after the work [WCL]. The original motivation for the irreduciblity of nilpotent variety comes from Premet's conjecture. Namely, for any finite-dimensional restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$, the variety $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ of nilpotent elements is irreducible [P1, Conjecture 1]. Restricted Lie algebras are analogs of algebraic Lie algebras of characteristic zero. It is well known that the variety of nilpotent elements in classical Lie algebras is irreducible (cf.[Ja]). If $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Jacobson-Witt algebra W_n, Premet [P2] obtained that $\mathcal{N}(W_n)$ is irreducible by identifying $\mathcal{N}(W_n)$ as the closure of one nilpotent orbit. Skryabin [Sk] proved the irreducibility of $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ in the case where $\mathfrak{g} = B_{2r}$ is a Poisson Lie algebra. The Poisson Lie algebra has a center coinciding with the scalars k, and the factor algebra B_{2r}/k is isomorphic to a certain Hamiltonian Lie algebra H'_{2r} (see Theorem 2.1) whose commutator subalgebra $[H'_{2r}, H'_{2r}] = H_{2r}$ is simple. In [WCL] we solve the case for special Lie algebra S_n by constructing an open dense subset of $\mathcal{N}(S_n)$. Since a subvariety of an irreducible variety may not be again irreducible, we couldn't get the irreducibility of $\mathcal{N}(H_{2r})$ from $\mathcal{N}(B_{2r})$ directly.

In the present paper we consider the Lie algebra of Cartan type H. Throughout this paper, we always assume that $n = 2r$ is an even integer and the characteristic $p > 3$. Our first main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM **A.** *Premet's Conjecture is true for H_n, that is the nilpotent variety of H_n is irreducible. It is also normal and a complete intersection. The ideal $I_{\mathcal{N}(H_n)} = \{\varphi \in k[H_n] | \varphi(\mathcal{N}(H_n)) = 0\}$ is generated by $\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_{r-1}$, where the polynomials ξ_i are defined in (3-2).*

The Chevalley restriction theorem for the reductive Lie algebra was firstly generalized by Premet [P2] for Cartan type, which is

$$k[W_n]^{\mathrm{Aut}\,(W_n)} \cong k[T_0]^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)}, \tag{1-1}$$

where $T_0 = \langle (1+x_1)\partial/\partial x_1, \cdots, (1+x_n)\partial/\partial x_n \rangle$ is a torus of W_n. In [WCL], we generalized the Chevalley restriction theorem to the special Lie algebra S_n. We proved it by showing that there is an injective between $k[S_n]^{\mathrm{Aut}\,(S_n)}$ and $k[W_{n-1}]^{\mathrm{Aut}\,(W_{n-1})}$. For the type H case, the authors in [BFS] have already had that this restriction map is surjective. In this paper, we will improve their result by proving that it is an isomorphism. The method is the same as in [WCL].

Let

$$T_H = \langle (1+x_{r+1})\partial_{r+1} - x_1\partial_1, \cdots, (1+x_{r+2})\partial_{r+2} - x_2\partial_2, \cdots, (1+x_{2r})\partial_{2r} - x_r\partial_r \rangle$$

be a generic torus introduced [BFS] in H_n. Our second main theorem is:

THEOREM **B.** *The restriction map $r_1 : k[H_n]^{\mathrm{Aut}\,(H_n)} \longrightarrow k[T_H]^{\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $k[H_n]^{\mathrm{Aut}\,(H_n)}$ is generated by $\xi_0, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{r-1}$.*

The paper is organized as follows. We first give a brief description of the Hamiltonian algebra and the Poisson Lie algebra and their relations. In section 2 and 3, we will prove Theorems A and B respectively.

2. The Hamiltonian algebra

In this section, we will give a brief description of the Hamiltonian Lie algebra.

Let $B_n = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]/\langle x_1^p, \cdots, x_n^p \rangle$ be the truncated polynomial ring. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the unique maximal ideal of B_n. The derivation algebra $W_n = \mathrm{Der}\, B_n$ is a Lie algebra called the Jacobson-Witt algebra. It is well-known that this is a simple restricted Lie algebra and its p-map is the standard p-th power of linear operators. Denote by $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i \in W_n$ the partial derivative with respect to the variable x_i. Then $\{\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n\}$ is a basis of the B_n-module W_n.

Consider the following differential form

$$\omega_H = \sum_{i=1}^{r} dx_i \wedge dx_{i+r}.$$

Define $H_n^{''} = \{D \in W_n \,|\, D(\omega_H) = 0\}$, which is clearly a subalgebra of W_n. For any $f \in B_n$, it can be easily checked that

$$D_H(f) := \sum_{i=1}^{r} \big(\partial_i(f)\partial_{i+r} - \partial_{i+r}(f)\partial_i\big) \tag{2-1}$$

indeed sends ω_H to 0. Define

$$[f, g] := D_H(f)(g),\ \forall\ f, g \in B_n.$$

The multiplication $[\,,\,]$ makes B_n into a Lie algebra, which is called a Poisson algebra. Accordingly, the map $D_H : B_n \longrightarrow W_n$ sending $f \in B_n$ to $D_H(f)$ is a Lie homomorphism.

Let H_n' denote the image of B_n under D_H. It is immediate thereof that the Lie center $\{f \in B_n \,|\, [f, B_n] = 0\}$ coincides with k, and H_n' is isomorphic to B_n/k. Put $H_n = H_n^{'(1)}$ be the derived algebra of H_n'. The following Theorem gives the property of H_n.

THEOREM **2.1** ([SF]). *(1) $H_n = \langle D_H(x^{\boldsymbol{a}}) \,|\, 0 \leq \boldsymbol{a} < \tau\rangle$ and $H_n' = \langle D_H(x^{\boldsymbol{a}})\rangle$. Here $x^{\boldsymbol{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ is the usual notation in B_n and $\tau = (p-1, \cdots, p-1)$.*

(2) H_n is simple and restricted, and its dimension is $p^n - 2$.

Note that the map D_H is linear, so every element in H_n has the form $D_H(f)$ for some $f \in B_n$.

Moreover, there is a p-map on B_n which is compatible with the p-map on H_n' and satisfying $1^{[p]} = 0$ and $f^{[p]} \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{m}$. This kind of p-map is called normalized. As every two normalized p-maps on B_n are conjugate. Thus, we fix a normalized $[p]$-structure on B_n. Given $f \in B_n$, we define $f^{[1]} = f$ and inductively, $f^{[p^i]} = (f^{[p^{i-1}]})^{[p]}$ for $i > 0$.

Denote by

$$\kappa : B_n \longrightarrow k \tag{2-2}$$

the homomorphism of associative algebras with kernel $\mathfrak{m}$. For each integer $a \geq 0$, Denote by $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots$ the coefficients in the p-adic expansion

$$a = \sum_{i\geq 0} a_i p^i, \qquad \text{with } 0 \leq a_i < p. \tag{2-3}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f^{<a>} &= \prod_{i\geq 0} \big(f^{[p^i]} - \kappa(f^{[p^i]})\big)^{a_i} \in B_n \\ f^{[a]} &= \prod_{i\geq 0} (f^{[p^i]})^{a_i} \in B_n \end{aligned}$$

From [Sk, Propositions 3.2, 3.5], there exist uniquely determined homogeneous polynomial functions $\widetilde{\varphi}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\varphi}_{p^r-1}$ (resp. $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{p^r-1}$) in $k[B_n]$ such that

$$f^{<p^r>} + \sum_{a=1}^{p^r-1} \widetilde{\varphi}_a(f) f^{<p^r-a>} = 0 \quad \big(resp. \quad f^{[p^r]} + \sum_{a=1}^{p^r-1} \varphi_a(f) f^{[p^r-a]} = 0\big). \tag{2-4}$$

And there is also a relation between the polynomial functions $\widetilde{\varphi}_i$ and φ_i:

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_a(f) = \sum_{b=1}^{a} (-1)^{a-b} \binom{a-1}{b-1} \kappa(f^{[a-b]}) \cdot \varphi_b(f) \quad \forall f \in B_n \text{ and } 0 < a < p^n. \tag{2-5}$$

3. The nilpotent variety of H_n

In this section, we will show that the nilpotent variety of H_n is irreducible and normal and a complete intersection. We first will give the invariant polynomial functions whose zero set is $\mathcal{N}(H_n)$ and introduce an open subset in H_n.

Consider B_n as the natural W_n-module and let's denote by $\chi_D(t)$ the characteristic polynomial of $D \in W_n$ as a linear transformation of B_n. It was proved by Premet [P2] that,

$$\chi_D(t) = t^{p^n} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi_i(D) t^{p^i},$$

where each ψ_i is a homogeneous polynomial function on W_n.

LEMMA **3.1** ([Sk, Lemma 6.3]). *If $f \in B_n$, then $\psi_i(D_H(f)) = 0$ and $\psi_{r+i}(D_H(f)) = \widetilde{\varphi}_{p^r-p^i}(f)^{p^r}$ for all $0 \le i < r$, where $\widetilde{\varphi}_{p^r-p^i}$'s are those polynomial functions in (2-4).*

Denote by $\phi_i = \widetilde{\varphi}_{p^r-p^i}$ for short. Recall that the map $D_H : B_n \longrightarrow W_n$ is defined by (2-1). It induces a surjection from $B_n^{(1)}$ to H_n. Hence there exists a linear map $\delta : H_n \longrightarrow B_n^{(1)}$ such that $D_H \circ \delta = id_{H_n}$. As a matter of fact, δ is given by

$$\delta\big(D_H(f)\big) = f - \kappa(f).$$

Because δ is linear, its differential satisfies

$$(d\delta)_{D_H(f)}\big(D_H(g)\big) = \delta\big(D_H(g)\big) \text{ for } f, g \in B_n. \tag{3-1}$$

Let

$$\xi_i = \phi_i \circ \delta, \quad \forall\ 0 \le i \le r-1. \tag{3-2}$$

In terms of Lemma 3.1, the polynomial functions ξ_i's satisfy the identities

$$\xi_i(D)^{p^r} = \Big(\phi_i\big(\delta(D)\big)\Big)^{p^r} = \psi_{r+i}\Big(D_H\big(\delta(D)\big)\Big) = \psi_{r+i}(D), \ \forall\ D \in H_n,\ 0 \le i < r.$$

Thus $\xi_i^{p^r} = \psi_{r+i}$. Therefore, ξ_i is $\mathrm{Aut}\,(H_n)$-invariant. The next Lemma is easy to check.

LEMMA **3.2.** *The nilpotent variety $\mathcal{N}(H_n)$ of H_n is the zero set of polynomial functions $\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_{r-1}$.*

Denote by

$$U = \{f \in B_n \,|\, f, f^{[p]}, \ldots, f^{[p^{r-1}]} \text{are linearly independent modulo } k + \mathfrak{m}^2\}.$$

It is due to [Sk, Proposition 2.2] that the subset U is nonempty and Zariski open in B_n. Consider the following morphisms

$$H_n \xrightarrow{\delta} B_n^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} B_n$$

where ϵ is the natural embedding. Let

$$V = \delta^{-1} \circ \epsilon^{-1}(U). \tag{3-3}$$

Since both δ and ϵ are linear, V is open in H_n. Let $u = \sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{i-1}x_i \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} x_{r+j}^{p-1}$. From the proof of [Sk, Proposition 5.2], it follows that $u \in U$. Thus $D_H(u) \in V$, which implies that V is also non-empty.

We begin to prove Theorem A. The proof is based on the following two Lemmas. The first one is proved in [Sk, Lemma 1.5]. The second one will be proved at the end of this section.

LEMMA **3.3.** *Let $\mathcal{N} \subset L$ be the zero set of homogeneous polynomial functions $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m \in k[L]$. Suppose that $M \subset L$ is an open subset and $E \subset L$ a vector subspace such that $E \cap \mathcal{N} \subset \{0\} \cup M$ and $(d\tau)_x, \ldots, (d\tau_m)_x$ are linearly independent at all points $x \in M$.*

(1). If $\dim E \geq m + 1$, then $\mathcal{N}$ is a complete intersection of codimension m in L and the ideal $I_{\mathcal{N}} = \{\tau \in k[L] \,|\, \tau(\mathcal{N}) = 0\}$ is generated by $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m$.

(2). If $\dim E \geq m + 2$, then $\mathcal{N}$ is normal and irreducible.

LEMMA **3.4.** *The differentials $d\xi_0, \ldots, d\xi_{r-1}$ are linearly independent at all $x \in V$, where ξ_i's are defined in (3-2) and V is defined in (3-3).*

Proof of Theorem A. According to Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, it suffices to find a vector subspace $E \subseteq H_n$ with

$$\dim E \geq r + 2, \quad \text{and} \quad E \cap \mathcal{N}(H_n) \subseteq \{0\} \cup V.$$

Let

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{i-1}x_i \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} x_{r+j}^{p-1}; \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{i-1}x_{r+i} \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} x_j^{p-1};$$

Define

$$E = \langle D_H(u), D_H(v), D_H(x_i x_{r+i}) \,|\, i = 1, \ldots, n\rangle \subseteq H_n.$$

It is easy to see that $\dim E = r+2$. It remains to prove that $E \cap \mathcal{N}(H_n) \subseteq \{0\} \cup V$.

Choose any

$$D = \lambda D_H(u) + \mu D_H(v) + D_H(s) = D_H(\lambda u + \mu v + s) \in E,$$

where s is a linear combination of $s_1, \ldots, s_n$. In terms of the proof of Theorem 6.4 in [Sk], we have that

(1) If $\lambda \neq 0$ or $\mu \neq 0$, then $\lambda u + s + \mu u \in U$;

(2) If $\lambda = \mu = 0$, then $D = D_H(s)$ is $[p]$-semisimple.

Thus if $D \in E \cap \mathcal{N}(H_n)$, then $D \in V$ or $D = 0$, i.e., $E \cap \mathcal{N}(H_n) \subseteq \{0\} \cup V$. Therefore we prove Theorem A. □

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Assume that there are some $\lambda_i \in k$ $(0 \leq i \leq r-1)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda_i (d\xi_i)_x = 0$. We claim that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda_i (d\phi_i)_{\delta(x)} = 0 \text{ on the whole algebra } B_n. \tag{3-4}$$

Assume that (3-4) is true. As $d\phi_i$ $(0 \leq i \leq r-1)$ are linearly independent at $\delta(x) \in U$, it follows that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Hence our lemma is proved. Therefore it is enough to prove (3-4).

By the definition of differential,

$$\begin{aligned} (d\xi_i)_x(y) &= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\xi_i(x+ty) - \xi_i(x)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\phi_i(\delta(x)) + \phi_i(t\delta(y)) - \phi_i(\delta(x))}{t} \\ &= (d\phi_i)_{\delta(x)}(\delta(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(d\xi_i)_x = (d\phi_i)_{\delta(x)} \circ \delta$.

Note that $(f+\lambda)^{<a>} = f^{<a>}$ for any $\lambda \in k$, so $\widetilde{\varphi}_a(f+\lambda) = \widetilde{\varphi}_a(f)$. Thus

$$(d\phi_i)_f(\lambda) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\phi_i(f+t\lambda) - \phi_i(f)}{t} = 0.$$

So

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda_i (d\phi_i)_{\delta(x)}\big|_{B_n^{(1)}} = 0. \tag{3-5}$$

Note that $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda_i (d\phi_i)_{\delta(x)}$ is linear on B_n. To prove (3-4), by (3-5), it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda_i (d\phi_i)_{\delta(x)}(x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_n^{p-1}) = 0. \tag{3-6}$$

Actually, we will show that for each $0 \leq i \leq r-1$,

$$(d\phi_i)_{\delta(x)}(x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_n^{p-1}) = 0. \tag{3-7}$$

It is easy to see that $(d\kappa)_f = \kappa$ as κ is linear. Note that

$$(f+tg)^{[a]} = f^{[a]} + t\sum_{\{j\geq 0\,|\,p^j\leq a\}} a_j f^{[a-p^j]} D_H(f)^{p^j-1}(g) + \text{terms divisible by } t^2.$$

Hence by (2-5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(d\widetilde{\varphi}_a)_{\delta(x)}(g) = &\sum_{b=1}^{a}(-1)^{a-b}\binom{a-1}{b-1}\cdot\Big(\kappa\big(\delta(x)^{[a-b]}\big)\cdot(d\varphi_b)_{\delta(x)}(g)+\\ &\varphi_b(\delta(x))\cdot\kappa\Big(\sum_{\{j\geq 0\,|\,p^j\leq a-b\}}(a-b)_j\delta(x)^{[a-b-p^j]}D_H(\delta(x))^{p^j-1}(g)\Big)\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{3-8}$$

where $(a-b)_j$ is the j-th coefficient in the p-adic expansion (cf. (2-3)) of $(a-b)$.

According to (2-2) and (3-8), (3-7) follows from the following two results ($\forall\ 1 \leq b \leq p^r - p^i$ and $\forall\ 0 \leq i \leq r-1$):

$$\kappa\big(\delta(x)^{[p^r-p^i-b]}\big)\cdot(d\varphi_b)_{\delta(x)}(x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_n^{p-1}) = 0, \tag{3-9}$$

$$D_H(\delta(x))^{p^j-1}(x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_n^{p-1}) \in \mathfrak{m}. \qquad \forall\ p^j \leq p^r - p^i - b. \tag{3-10}$$

Firstly, we prove (3-9). Indeed, by [Sk, Proposition 3.2], we have

$$(d\varphi_b)_{\delta(x)}(x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_n^{p-1}) = \varphi_1\big(\delta(x)^{[b-1]}x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_n^{p-1}\big).$$

If $p\nmid(b-1)$, then $\delta(x)^{[b-1]} \in \mathfrak{m}$ as $\delta(x) \in \mathfrak{m}$. And then

$$(d\varphi_b)_{\delta(x)}(x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_n^{p-1}) = \varphi_1(0) = 0.$$

If $p\,|\,(b-1)$, assume that $mp = b-1$, i.e., $b = mp-1$. In this case we have that $p\nmid(p^r-p^i-b = p^r-p^i-mp-1)$ for any $0\leq i\leq r-1$. Thus $\delta(x)^{[p^r-p^i-b]} \in \mathfrak{m}$, i.e., $\kappa(\delta(x)^{[p^r-p^i-b]}) = 0$. Therefore, (3-9) is true.

It remains to prove (3-10). Note that $D_H(\delta(x))^{p^j-1} = x^{p^j-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{j-1}(x^{p^i})^{p-1}$. Thus

$$D_H\big(\delta(x)\big)^{p^j-1}(g) = \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} D_H\big(\delta(x)^{[p^i]}\big)^{p-1}(x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_n^{p-1}).$$

Since $\deg D_H\big(\delta(x)\big)^{p^j-1}(x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_n^{p-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}(p-1)\deg\delta(x)^{[p^i]} + n(p-1) - 2j(p-1) > 0$, thus $D_H(\delta(x))^{p^j-1}(g) \in \mathfrak{m}$. The proof is complete. □

4. The invariant polynomial ring of H_n

Throughout this section, denote for short by $G_W = \mathrm{Aut}\,(W_r)$, G_B the group of automorphisms of both the associative and the Lie algebra structures on B_n, and $G_H = \mathrm{Aut}\,(H_n)$ the automorphism group of H_n. In this section, we aim to prove Theorem B by showing an injective between $k[H_{2r}]^{G_H}$ and $k[W_r]^{G_W}$, then we get the result due to the commutative diagram (Figure 4-1).

It is well-known that

$$\{\mu \in \mathrm{Aut}\, B_n \,|\, \mu(\omega_H) \in k^*\omega_H\} \cong G_H, \quad \text{and} \quad \{\mu \in \mathrm{Aut}\, B_n \,|\, \mu(\omega_H) = \omega_H\} = G_B,$$

where the isomorphism for H_n is given by $\mu \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}\,_\mu$. For any $D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i\partial_i \in W_n$, the action of $\mathrm{Ad}\,_\mu$ on W_n is

$$\mathrm{Ad}\,_\mu(D) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} \Big(f_i \cdot \frac{\partial \mu(x_k)}{\partial x_i}\Big)\big(\mu^{-1}(x_1), \cdots, \mu^{-1}(x_n)\big)\partial_k.$$

Now we consider the map

$$\beta = D_H \circ \theta_r : W_r \longrightarrow H_n, \tag{4-1}$$

where θ_r is defined by

$$\theta_r : W_r \longrightarrow B_n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i\partial_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i f_i(x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_{2r}).$$

It is proved in [BFS, Lemma 4.3] that β is an injective homomorphism of restricted Lie algebras. By pulling-back, β induces a morphism

$$\beta^* : k[H_n] \longrightarrow k[W_r], \qquad \beta^*(\rho) = \rho \circ \beta.$$

PROPOSITION **4.1.** *β^* induces an injective morphism*

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \beta^*|_{k[H_n]^{G_H}} : k[H_n]^{G_H} \longrightarrow k[W_r]^{G_W}. \tag{4-2}$$

We leave the proof of Proposition 4.1 at the end of this section.

Proof of Theorem B. Let

$$\begin{aligned} T_W &= \langle (1+x_1)\partial_1, \cdots, (1+x_r)\partial_r\rangle \in W_r; \\ T_H &= \langle (1+x_{r+1})\partial_{r+1} - x_1\partial_1, \cdots, (1+x_{2r})\partial_{2r} - x_r\partial_r\rangle \in H_n; \end{aligned}$$

be the generic tori defined in [BFS]. Then the map β induces an isomorphism between T_W and T_H. Let us consider the following diagram: Here, r_1, r_2 are both

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[H_n]^{G_H} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\beta}} & k[W_r]^{G_W} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle r_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r_2} \\ k[T_H]^{\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_p)} & \xrightarrow{\beta^*_{T_H}} & k[T_W]^{\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_p)} \end{array}$$

Figure 4-1: commutative diagram

restriction maps; and $\beta^*_{T_H}$ is the restriction of β^* on $k[T_H]^{\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_p)}$. Clearly, the map $\beta^*_{T_H}$ is an isomorphism.

It is clear that it is a commutative diagram. From [BFS, Theorem 6.12] it follows that the map r_1 is surjective. It remains to show that r_1 is injective.

By Proposition 4.1, $\widetilde{\beta} : k[H_n]^{G_H} \to k[W_r]^{G_W}$ is injective. Hence the map r_1 is injective, because r_2 is an isomorphism [P2].

Note that, $k[T_H]^{\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ is generated by $\xi_0|_{T_H}, \xi_1|_{T_H}, \cdots, \xi_{r-1}|_{T_H}$. Therefore, $k[H_n]^{G_H}$ is generated by $\xi_0, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{r-1}$. □

REMARK **4.2.** *Actually, the map $\widetilde{\beta}$ is an isomorphism.*

Before going to prove Proposition 4.1, we first show that

$$\widetilde{\beta}\left(k[H_n]^{G_H}\right) \subseteq k[W_r]^{G_W}. \tag{4-3}$$

To this end, it is enough to construct a map $G_W \to G_H : \mu \mapsto \widetilde{\mu}$, such that $\widetilde{\mu^{-1}} = (\widetilde{\mu})^{-1}$ and for any $D \in W_r$

$$\beta\big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\,\mu}(D)\big) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\,\widetilde{\mu}}\big(\beta(D)\big). \tag{4-4}$$

If (4-4) is true, then for any $\rho \in k[H_n]^{G_H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\,\mu}\big(\widetilde{\beta}(\rho)\big)\Big)(D) &= \rho\Big(\beta\big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\,\mu^{-1}}(D)\big)\Big) = \rho\Big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\,\widetilde{\mu}^{-1}}\big(\beta(D)\big)\Big) \\ &= \big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\,\widetilde{\mu}}(\rho)\big)\big(\beta(D)\big) = \rho\big(\beta(D)\big) = \widetilde{\beta}(\rho)(D). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\widetilde{\beta}(\rho) \in k[G_W]^{G_W}$.

Let's go to prove (4-4). For any $\mathrm{Ad}_{\,\mu} \in G_W$ with $\mu \in \mathrm{Aut}\, B_r$, let

$$\det\left(\left(\frac{\partial \mu(x_j)}{\partial x_i}\right)_{ij}\right) \equiv \alpha \mod \mathfrak{m}.$$

Then we define $\widetilde{\mu} \in \mathrm{Aut}\, B_n$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mu}(x_i) &= \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{r} x_k \left(\frac{\partial \mu(x_k)}{\partial x_i}\right) (\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}), \cdots, \widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})); \\ \widetilde{\mu}(x_{i+r}) &= \mu^{-1}(x_i)(x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_{2r}); \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily checked that $\widetilde{\mu^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mu}(x_i)) = x_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq 2r$. Then

$$\widetilde{\mu^{-1}} = (\widetilde{\mu})^{-1}.$$

LEMMA **4.3.** *The automorphism* $\mathrm{Ad}\,_{\widetilde{\mu}}$ *belongs to* G_H.

Proof. We have to show that $\widetilde{\mu}(\omega_H) \in k^*\omega_H$. As

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mu}(\omega_H) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} d\widetilde{\mu}(x_i) \wedge d\widetilde{\mu}(x_{i+r}) \\
&= \alpha\Bigg(\sum_{k,i=1}^{r} \left(\frac{\partial \mu(x_k)}{\partial x_i}\right) \big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}), \cdots, \widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})\big) dx_k + \sum_{k,i,t,j=1}^{r} x_k \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mu(x_k)}{\partial x_t \partial x_i}\right) \\
&\quad \circ \big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}), \cdots, \widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})\big) \left(\frac{\partial \mu^{-1}(x_t)}{\partial x_j}\right) (x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_{2r}) dx_{r+j} \Bigg) \\
&\quad \wedge \sum_{l=1}^{r} \left(\frac{\partial \mu^{-1}(x_i)}{\partial x_l}(x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_{2r}) dx_{r+l}\right) \\
&= \alpha \sum_{k,l=1}^{r} \frac{\partial\Big(\mu(x_k)\big(\mu^{-1}(x_1), \cdots, \mu^{-1}(x_n)\big)\Big)}{\partial x_l}(x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_{2r}) dx_k \wedge dx_{r+l} \\
&\quad + \alpha \sum_{1 \leq j < l \leq r} (\Phi_{jl} - \Phi_{lj}) dx_{j+r} \wedge dx_{l+r},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_{jl} = \sum_{k,i,t}^{r} x_k \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mu(x_k)}{\partial x_t \partial x_i}\right) \big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}), \cdots, \widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})\big) \left(\frac{\partial \mu^{-1}(x_t)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \mu^{-1}(x_i)}{\partial x_l}\right) (x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_{2r}).$$

Note that $\mu(x_k)(\mu^{-1}(x_1), \cdots, \mu^{-1}(x_n)) = x_k$ and $\Phi_{jl} = \Phi_{lj}$, thus

$$\widetilde{\mu}(\omega_H) = \alpha\omega_H. \tag{4-5}$$

Therefore, our lemma is proved. □

Recall the relation between the groups G_H and G_B in [St].

THEOREM **4.2.** *[St, Theorem 7.3.6] For* $\mu \in \mathrm{Aut}\, B_n$ *the following assertions are equivalent.*

(a) $\mathrm{Ad}\,_{\mu} \in G_H$.

(b) There is $\alpha \in k^*$ *such that* $[\mu(x_i), \mu(x_j)] = \alpha\sigma(i)\delta_{i'j}$, *for all* $f, g \in B_n$, *where* $\sigma(i) = 1$ *if* $i \leq r$ *and -1 otherwise;* $i' = i+r$ *if* $i \leq r$ *and equals* $i-n$ *otherwise.*

(c) There is $\alpha \in k^*$ *such that* $[\mu(f), \mu(g)] = \alpha\mu[f, g]$, *for all* $f, g \in B_n$.

If (b) or (c) holds, then $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mu}(D_H(f)) = D_H(\alpha^{-1}\mu(f))$ *holds for all* $f \in B_n$.

For our induced automorphism $\mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{\mu}}$, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY **4.4.** *For any* $D_H(f) \in H_n$, *we have* $\mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{\mu}}(D_H(f)) = \alpha^{-1}D_H(\widetilde{\mu}(f))$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+i}), \widetilde{\mu}(x_i)] &= D_H\big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+i})\big)\left(\alpha\sum_{k=1}^{r} x_k\left(\frac{\partial\mu(x_k)}{\partial x_i}\right)\big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}),\cdots,\widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})\big)\right)\\
&= -\alpha\sum_{l=1}^{r}\frac{\partial\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+i})}{\partial x_{r+l}}\left(\frac{\partial\mu(x_l)}{\partial x_i}\right)\big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}),\cdots,\widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})\big)\\
&= -\alpha\left(\frac{\partial\mu^{-1}(x_i)\big(\mu(x_1),\cdots,\mu(x_n)\big)}{\partial x_i}\right)\big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}),\cdots,\widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})\big).
\end{aligned}$$

As $\mu^{-1}(x_i)\big(\mu(x_1),\cdots,\mu(x_n)\big) = x_i$, then $[\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+i}), \widetilde{\mu}(x_i)] = -\alpha$. And hence by Theorem 4.2, we get

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{\mu}}\big(D_H(f)\big) = \alpha^{-1}D_H\big(\widetilde{\mu}(f)\big).$$

□

Proof of (4-4). For any $\rho \in k[H_n]^{G_H}$, and any $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mu} \in G_W$, then we have an automorphism $\mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{\mu}} \in G_H$ defined as above. Hence for any $D = \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i\partial_i \in W_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta\big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\mu}(f_i\partial_i)\big) &= \beta\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r}\Big(f_i\frac{\partial\mu(x_k)}{\partial x_i}\Big)\big(\mu^{-1}(x_1),\cdots,\mu^{-1}(x_r)\big)\partial_k\right)\\
&= D_H\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r}x_k\Big(f_i\frac{\partial\mu(x_k)}{\partial x_i}\Big)\big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}),\cdots,\widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})\big)\right)\\
&= \alpha^{-1}D_H\Big(f_i\big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}),\cdots,\widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})\big)\widetilde{\mu}(x_i)\Big)\\
\mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{\mu}}\big(\beta(f_ix_i)\big) &= \mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{\mu}}\Big(D_H\big(x_if_i(x_{r+1},\cdots,x_{2r})\big)\Big)\\
&= \alpha^{-1}D_H\Big(\widetilde{\mu}\big(x_if_i(x_{r+1},\cdots,x_{2r})\big)\Big) \qquad \text{by Corollary 4.4,}\\
&= \alpha^{-1}D_H\Big(\widetilde{\mu}(x_i)f_i(\widetilde{\mu}(x_{r+1}),\cdots,\widetilde{\mu}(x_{2r})\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\beta(\mathrm{Ad}_{\mu}(f_i\partial_i)) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{\mu}}(\beta(f_ix_i))$. By linearity, (4-4) is true. □

To show that $\widetilde{\beta}$ is injective, let us first recall some subsets in H_n. Recall that V defined in (3-3) is an open subset in H_n. Let

$$S_0 = \big\{\lambda + u + (-1)^{r-1}hx_{r+1}^{p-1}\cdots x_{2r}^{p-1} \mid \lambda \in k,\ h \in \mathfrak{m} \cap k[x_1,\cdots,x_r]\big\},$$

here $u = \sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{i-1}x_i\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}x_{r+j}^{p-1}$. The set $G_B(f)\cap S_0$ consists of only one element for every $f \in U$ due to [Sk, Theorem 5.2]. Then for any $D_H(f) \in V$, there is an $s \in S_0$ such that

$$D_H(s) \in G_H(D_H(f)).$$

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We have proved that $\widetilde{\beta}(k[H_n]^{G_H}) \subseteq k[W_r]^{G_W}$. Let's start to prove $\widetilde{\beta}$ is injective. If $\widetilde{\beta}(\rho) = 0$ for $\rho \in k[H_n]^{G_H}$, then $\rho\big(\beta(W_r)\big) = 0$. For any $D_H(f) \in V$, there is an $s \in S_0$ such that $D_H(s) \in G_H(D_H(f))$. Assume that $s = u + (\sum_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_i x_i + h')x_{r+1}^{p-1}\cdots x_{2r}^{p-1}$ with $\deg h' \geq 2$ and $\lambda_i \in k$. Let $\mathrm{Ad}_{\,\mu} \in G_H$ be that $\mu(x_i) = ax_i$ and $\mu(x_{r+i}) = x_{r+i}$ with $a \in k^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ad}_{\,\mu}\big(D_H(s)\big) &= D_H\big(a^{-1}\mu(s)\big) = D_H\Big(u + a^{-1}\mu\big(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_i x_i + h'\big)x_{r+1}^{p-1}\cdots x_{2r}^{p-1}\Big)\\ &= D_H\Big(u + \big(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_i x_i + ah''\big)x_{r+1}^{p-1}\cdots x_{2r}^{p-1}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

for some $h'' \in \mathfrak{m}\cap k[x_1,\cdots,x_r]$.

Now by taking limit as a goes to 0, it follows that

$$D_H\Big(u + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_i x_i\prod_{i=1}^{r}x_{r+i}^{p-1}\Big) \in \overline{G_H(D_H(s))} \subseteq \overline{G_H(D_H(f))}$$

Since ρ is G_H-invariant, it must be constant on closures of orbits. Then

$$\rho(D_H(f)) = \rho\left(D_H\Big(u + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_i x_i\prod_{i=1}^{r}x_{r+i}^{p-1}\Big)\right).$$

After this, note that

$$D_H\Big(u + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_i x_i\prod_{i=1}^{r}x_{r+i}^{p-1}\Big) = \beta\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\big((-1)^{i-1}\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}x_j^{p-1} + \lambda_i\prod_{i=1}^{r}x_i^{p-1}\big)\partial_i\Big),$$

and $\rho(\beta(W_r)) = 0$, hence $\rho(D_H(f)) = 0$. Therefore, the map $\widetilde{\beta}$ is injective. □

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for providing the opportunity and good environment to study here. The author also would like to thank Professor A.A.Premet for discussing his Conjecture with her.

References

[BFS] J.M. Bois and R. Farnsteiner and B. Shu, *Weyl groups for non-classical restricted Lie algebras and the Chevalley Restriction Theorem.* To appear in Forum Math.

[Ja] J.C. Jantzen, *Nilpotent orbits in representation theory,* pages 1-221, in "Lie Theory, Lie algebras and representations", Progress in Mathematics 228, Birhauser, Boston.Basel.Berlin, 2004.

[P1] A.A. Premet, *Regular Cartan subalgebras and nilpotent elements in restricted Lie algebras.* Math. USSR. Sbornik, Vol. 66, No.6, pp. 555-570, 1990.

[P2] A.A. Premet, *The theorem on restricted of invariants and nilpotent elements in W_n.* Math. USSR. Sbornik, Vol. 73, No.1, pp. 135-159, 1992.

[Sk] S. Skryabin, *Invariant polynomial functions on the Poisson algebra in characteristic p.* Journal of Algebra 256, pp. 146-179, 2002.

[SF] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie Algebras and their Representations.* Pure and Applied Mathematics 116. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1988.

[St] H. Strade, *Simple Lie Algebras over Fields of Positive Characteristic I. Structure Theory*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.

[WCL] J. Wei, H. Chang and X. Lu, *The variety of nilpotent elements and invariant polynomial functions on the special algebra S_n.* To appear in Forum mathematicum.